#### REVIEW

Sex Differences Series

#### JEM Journal of Experimental Medicine

# The X factor in neurodegeneration

Rhonda Voskuhl<sup>1</sup> and Yuichiro Itoh<sup>1</sup>

Given the aging population, it is important to better understand neurodegeneration in aging healthy people and to address the increasing incidence of neurodegenerative diseases. It is imperative to apply novel strategies to identify neuroprotective therapeutics. The study of sex differences in neurodegeneration can reveal new candidate treatment targets tailored for women and men. Sex chromosome effects on neurodegeneration remain understudied and represent a promising frontier for discovery. Here, we will review sex differences in neurodegeneration, focusing on the study of sex chromosome effects in the context of declining levels of sex hormones during aging.

#### Introduction

The study of sex differences is a way to capitalize on a known clinical observation, mechanistically disentangle it at the laboratory bench, and then translate findings back to the clinic as a novel treatment trial tailored for each sex, a "Bedside to Bench to Bedside" approach (Voskuhl, 2020; Voskuhl and Gold, 2012). The importance of sex as a biologic variable has been recognized by the National Institutes of Health (Clayton, 2016; Clayton and Collins, 2014). Studying sex differences brings scientific rigor and clinical relevance. If a given disease mechanism is discovered not only in one sex but instead in both sexes, then it is relevant to the entire population. On the other hand, if a mechanism is prominent in one sex but not the other, then this is an invaluable clue toward discovery of a potential disease modifier that can be optimized for the relevant sex.

Sex differences occur during health and disease. These sex differences can be mediated by biologic effects, environmental effects, or both. Observations of sex differences across species, for example, between female and male mice in a vivarium underscore the role of biologic effects.

Biologic sex differences can be due to sex chromosomes (XX versus XY), sex hormones (estrogen versus testosterone), or both. Sex chromosomes and sex hormones can act in a synergistic or antagonistic manner on a given process (Palaszynski et al., 2005). Compensatory mechanisms may have arisen during evolution to promote survival of each sex, reaching an optimal balance between sex chromosome and sex hormone influences, which is distinct for each sex (De Vries, 2004). Effects of sex chromosomes and sex hormones are cell-specific and tissue-specific. In diseases that involve multiple organ systems, a deleterious versus beneficial effect of being female or male on

disease must be determined in each tissue. For example in multiple sclerosis (MS), an autoimmune disease that attacks the central nervous system (CNS), the effect of a given sex chromosome or sex hormone on disease may differ based on its influence on inflammation in the peripheral immune system versus neurodegeneration in the CNS. Analysis of data from the Genotype-Tissue Expression project examined sex differences in gene expression across 44 tissues in humans and showed that 37% of all genes exhibit sex-biased expression in at least one tissue (Oliva et al., 2020). In another study using the same dataset focusing on 29 human healthy tissues, whole-genome expression profiles showed distinct sex-biased regulatory networks in each tissue (Lopes-Ramos et al., 2020). Furthermore, sex differences in gene expression are region-specific and cell-specific within the brain (Kim-Hellmuth et al., 2020; Oliva et al., 2020). These studies underscore the pervasiveness and complexity of sex differences in gene expression during health with implications for sex differences in neurodegenerative diseases, which can be distinct depending on the brain regions and cells involved. Determining the contribution of sex chromosomes and sex hormones to sex differences in neurodegenerative diseases is a new frontier in the development of novel therapeutics optimally tailored for women and men.

Here, we review sex differences in neurodegeneration and discuss how sex chromosomes modulate autosomal gene expression and phenotype, and then propose future directions to identify brain region-specific and cell-specific mechanisms of neurodegeneration in each sex.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA.

Correspondence to Rhonda Voskuhl: rvoskuhl@mednet.ucla.edu.

© 2022 Voskuhl and Itoh. This article is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution 4.0 International, as described at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/).



#### Sex differences in the brain during health and disease

Sex differences in the brain occur across species, from humans to mice (Corre et al., 2016; Gur et al., 1999; Luders and Toga, 2010; Luders et al., 2014; Meyer et al., 2017; Spring et al., 2007; Voskuhl and Klein, 2019), providing evidence for biological differences due to sex hormones or sex chromosomes. Healthy male brains are on average larger than those of females, maintaining proportion relative to body size. That said, there are also regional differences in substructure volumes even when accounting for differences in brain size. For example, dorsal (posterior) versus ventral (anterior) hippocampus differ regarding which is larger in each sex (Kurth et al., 2017; Meyer et al., 2017; Spring et al., 2007). Sex differences in brain structure have also been found in adolescents (ages 9-10 yr old), as shown by analysis of data from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development study (Brennan et al., 2021). The role of sex differences in brain structure during health as it pertains to sex differences during neurodevelopmental disorders and neurodegenerative diseases is an area of active investigation (Wierenga et al., 2022). In addition, sex differences in brain structure during health are critical to take into account when comparing brain substructures during disease. The comparison between substructure volumes in females versus males with disease is confounded by the sex difference during health. To remove this confound, substructure volumes should be compared between females with disease versus females that are healthy as well as between males with disease versus males that are healthy. This permits subsequent determination of whether there is a sex difference in the effect of disease on substructure atrophy (Voskuhl et al., 2020).

Beyond brain structure, there are sex differences at the functional, cellular, and molecular and levels. Resting-state functional connectivity using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has shown sex differences during health, which may impact the CNS response to a disease. Using imaging data from the Human Connectome Project and the 1000BRAINS study, sex aligned with region-specific differences in brain connectivity. Brain regions most distinct between the sexes included the cingulate cortex, medial and lateral frontal cortex, temporoparietal regions, insula, and precuneus (Weis et al., 2020). In other studies, males displayed more between-module connectivity, while females demonstrated more within-module connectivity, which aligned with sex differences in performance on cognitive domain-specific testing (Satterthwaite et al., 2015). Also, during aging, the default-mode network showed decreases in connectivity in both males and females, but at different rates (Scheinost et al., 2015).

Sex differences in brain at the cellular and molecular levels are vast and have been the topic of reviews for decades (Cosgrove et al., 2007; McCarthy et al., 2017). Previous studies focused on sex hormone effects, beginning with localization of sex hormone receptor expression within brain, initially estrogen receptor  $\alpha$  (ER $\alpha$ ), then ER $\beta$  (Merchenthaler et al., 2004; Mitra et al., 2003; Shughrue et al., 2002). Recently it has become apparent that a CNS cell-specific approach to hormone receptor expression in vivo must be determined in each region given the known regional heterogeneity of microglia (Grabert et al., 2016),

astrocytes (Chai et al., 2017; Khakh and Sofroniew, 2015), oligodendrocytes (Marques et al., 2016; Vigano et al., 2013), and neurons (Ko et al., 2013). Indeed, sex differences in sex hormone receptor expression should be evaluated similar to sex differences in transcriptomics, namely in a CNS region-specific and cell-specific manner (Kim-Hellmuth et al., 2020; Oliva et al., 2020). This is challenging because sex hormone receptor expression is variable since sex hormones can affect the level of expression of their own receptor. Sex hormone receptor expression can be affected by menstrual cycle phase, menopause, and andropause. Sex hormone receptor expression can also be altered during brain injury, as shown by the upregulation of ERa in astrocytes (Azcoitia et al., 2010; DonCarlos et al., 2006; Garcia-Ovejero et al., 2002). Beyond hormone receptor expression and ligation, function depends on tissue-specific and cellspecific intracellular transcription factors and signaling. For example, ligation of ER $\alpha$  versus ER $\beta$  can be synergistic in some tissues and antagonistic in others (Paech et al., 1997; Shang and Brown, 2002; Tiwari-Woodruff et al., 2007). Beyond sex hormones, a new avenue of sex differences research in the brain is a region-specific and cell-specific approach to sex chromosome gene expression.

There are sex differences in brain not only during health but also during neurodegenerative diseases (Voskuhl and Klein, 2019; Young and Pfaff, 2014). In MS, despite the fact that women have more robust peripheral immune responses (Klein and Flanagan, 2016; Libert et al., 2010; Moldovan et al., 2008; Pelfrey et al., 2002) and are more susceptible to disease (Krysko et al., 2020; Voskuhl and Gold, 2012; Whitacre et al., 1999), MS men have worse disability progression (Confavreux et al., 2003; Koch et al., 2010; Ribbons et al., 2015; Weinshenker, 1994). Regarding timing, subcortical gray matter atrophy and cognitive deficits are worse in MS men during young adulthood to midlife (Beatty and Aupperle, 2002; Savettieri et al., 2004; Schoonheim et al., 2012; Voskuhl et al., 2020). In contrast, older MS women have a worsening of their MS disabilities after menopause (Baroncini et al., 2019; Bove et al., 2014a; Bove et al., 2016a; Bove et al., 2016b; Graves et al., 2018; Holmqvist et al., 2006; Smith and Studd, 1992).

Cognitive deficits occur in healthy women with menopause, which have been quantified by performance on objective cognitive tests of verbal memory and processing speed (Dumas et al., 2008; Epperson et al., 2013; Greendale et al., 2009; Greendale et al., 2010; Miller et al., 2013; Rasgon et al., 2005; Wroolie et al., 2011). This is termed "brain fog" and is consistent with loss of neuroprotective estradiol with menopause (Bove et al., 2014b; Halbreich et al., 1995; Miller et al., 2013; Rasgon et al., 2005; Sherwin, 2009; Sherwin et al., 2011; Wroolie et al., 2011).

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is more common in females, which is not accounted for merely by greater longevity in females (Mielke et al., 2014; Snyder et al., 2016; Uchoa et al., 2016). However, men may be at greater risk for mild cognitive impairment at younger ages (Mielke et al., 2014). Also, at younger ages, the rate of progression from mild cognitive impairment to AD is higher in men, while at older ages the rate is higher in women (Snyder et al., 2016). Loss of endogenous sex hormones







IEM | Journal of Experimental Medicine

in menopausal women and andropausal men is associated with cognitive decline and increased AD risk (Carter et al., 2012; Pike, 2017; Vest and Pike, 2013). Similar deleterious effects of menopause and andropause may be due to testosterone's conversion to estradiol in brain by aromatase, such that lower levels of either hormone during aging can decrease ER ligation in brain (Spence and Voskuhl, 2012). This is not mutually exclusive of an effect of lower testosterone levels on ligation of androgen receptors in men (Cherrier et al., 2005).

Parkinson's disease (PD) has a higher incidence in males than females with a ratio of 2:1 (Oltra et al., 2022; Shulman and Bhat, 2006), and progression of degeneration of the nigrostriatal system is thought to be worse in men (Jurado-Coronel et al., 2018). Men with PD have more severe cognitive impairment, namely executive function and processing speed as measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment and the Symbol Digits Modalities Test, respectively (Oltra et al., 2022; Oltra et al., 2021; Reekes et al., 2020). Also, PD males have worse cortical thinning in postcentral and precentral regions and smaller volumes in thalamus, caudate, putamen, pallidum, hippocampus, and brainstem compared with PD females (Oltra et al., 2022; Oltra et al., 2021). Whether testosterone deficiency in aging males or exposure to pesticides that act via estrogen-blocking properties can predispose to PD is a subject of speculation (Brenner, 2012; Okun et al., 2004; Okun et al., 2006; Okun et al., 2002). ER ligands have been proposed as possible candidate future treatments in PD (Bourque et al., 2019; Currie et al., 2004; McFarland et al., 2013).

#### Sex differences in the brain with aging

Aging is associated with brain atrophy, neurodegeneration, and cognitive decline in healthy people. It is also the most important risk factor for susceptibility to neurodegenerative diseases. How sex hormones (estrogen, testosterone) and sex chromosomes (XX, XY) influence neurodegeneration across the lifespan remains unclear. We hypothesize that the effect of biological sex across the lifespan is complex, with sex hormones and sex chromosomes contributing differently depending on the timing of the loss of neuroprotective sex hormones during menopause versus andropause. Andropause starts at age 30 with gradual decline of testosterone to old age (Fig. 1, blue). Menopause starts later (ages 46–52) with an abrupt decline in estrogen (Fig. 1, red). In age 75–90 yr, loss of neuroprotective sex hormones in both sexes may unmask underlying effects of sex chromosomes (XX versus XY) that persist across the lifespan (Fig. 1, green). Aging female and male C57BL/6 WT mice underwent in vivo MRI to assess regional brain atrophy and were assessed for underlying neuropathology as well as cognitive impairment. An age-by-sex hormone interaction was discovered (Itoh et al., 2022). Ovariectomized females demonstrated dorsal hippocampal atrophy at midlife, but not young age, which was associated with worse spatial memory on behavioral testing and more glial activation and synaptic loss on neuropathology. Deletion of  $ER\beta$  in astrocytes, but not neurons, recapitulated these deficits in midlife females. Since sex hormones have been studied more extensively than sex chromosomes, we will now focus on sex chromosome effects on neurodegeneration. That said, observations

## Voskuhl and Itoh

X factor in neurodegeneration





Figure 2. FCG mice. The Y chromosome gene that encodes for testicular development (Sry) is deleted, with mice designated XY-. Both XX and XY- mice are ovary-bearing (red). Comparisons between XX versus XY- mice sharing a common gonadal type (females throughout life) reveal sex chromosome effects. When the Sry trangene is added back at an autosomal location, designated Sry, both XX Sry and XY- Sry mice are testes bearing (blue). Comparisons between XX Sry versus XY- Sry mice sharing a common gonadal type (males throughout life) also reveal sex chromosome effects. Comparisons between mice with a common sex chromosome complement, with or without the Sry gene, reveal sex hormone effects (ovary bearing versus testes bearing throughout life). Comparisons between mice with a common sex chromosome complement that are gonadectomized reveal developmental (organizational) effects of sex hormones (not shown).

JEM | Journal of Experimental Medicine

made when investigating the role of sex chromosomes in neurodegeneration must take into account coexisting effects of sex hormones (Fig. 1).

#### The study of sex chromosomes independent of sex hormones

Since XX females have ovaries (estrogen) and XY males have testes (testosterone), it is important to remove the confound of a difference in sex hormones when studying sex chromosome effects. Sex hormone effects occur both during development (organizational) and adulthood (activational). Gonadectomy of females and males during adulthood does not control for sex hormone effects during development (prior to gonadectomy). Elegant studies have established how to disentangle the effect of XX versus XY sex chromosome complement from the effect of gonadal type (sex hormones; Smith-Bouvier et al., 2008) using the Four Core Genotype (FCG) mice (Arnold, 2004; Arnold and Burgoyne, 2004). This model has been used worldwide to study sex differences in health (development and adulthood) and diseases (autoimmunity, cardiovascular, and metabolic, to name a few; Blencowe et al., 2022). The Y chromosome gene that encodes for testicular development (sex determining region Y, Sry) is deleted, with mice designated XY-, and they are ovarybearing (gonadal females). Comparisons can be made between XX versus XY- mice that differ in sex chromosome complement, while sharing a common gonadal type (females throughout life; Fig. 2). If the Sry trangene is added back at an autosomal location, comparisons can be made between XX Sry versus XY- Sry mice that differ in sex chromosome complement, again sharing a common gonadal type (males throughout life), (Fig. 2). Comparisons between XX versus XY- mice can be made in gonadally intact mice, as well as in gonadectomized mice, since removal of sex hormones may unmask an effect of sex chromosomes,

making an XX versus XY- difference more prominent. Opposing effects of sex hormones and sex chromosomes suggest that even when there is no sex difference in disease in WT, gonadally intact mice, the study of sex hormones and sex chromosomes is still warranted. The two sexes can overall be in balance, yet may differ in the underlying influence of sex hormones and sex chromosomes to reach that balance.

Given the known female bias in several autoimmune diseases (Klein and Flanagan, 2016; Whitacre et al., 1999), sex chromosome effects in peripheral blood immune responses have been studied. Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) is a prototypic model to study autoantigen-specific immune responses in MS. The relapsing-remitting EAE model on the SJL background was used to examine the role of sex chromosomes on immune responses by backcrossing FCG mice onto the SJL strain. Active EAE was worse in XX mice compared with XY-. Adoptive transfer of autoantigen-stimulated XX lymph node cells, compared to XY- cells, to WT females induced worse clinical disease and neuropathology along with decreased T helper 2 (Th2) anti-inflammatory cytokines, IL 10 and IL 13 (Smith-Bouvier et al., 2008). These studies demonstrated a role for sex chromosomes in the immune induction phase of adoptive EAE, with the XX complement, compared to XY-, more proinflammatory. A proinflammatory effect of XX compared to XYwas also shown in experimental (Smith-Bouvier et al., 2008) and spontaneous (Sasidhar et al., 2012) lupus models using the FCG model.

Sex differences vary depending on autosomal genetic background (or strain of mouse). In contrast to SJL mice with females worse, there is no sex difference in EAE walking scores in Q:4 C57BL/6 mice (Palaszynski et al., 2004; Papenfuss et al., 2004). Yet, even in C57BL/6 mice, androgens exert anti-inflammatory

Q:1 Q:2

Q:3

effects on cytokines and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  (PPAR $\alpha$ ) in T lymphocytes in the immune system (Doroshenko et al., 2021; Dunn et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2012). Conversely, XY sex chromosome complement in the CNS confers a worse neurodegenerative response to immune-mediated injury (Du et al., 2014). If a male sex hormone and the male sex chromosome complement exert opposing effects on disease in a given strain (De Vries, 2005; Palaszynski et al., 2005), then there may not be a sex difference when comparing males to females. This demonstrates why disease mechanisms in each sex should be studied even in the absence of an overall sex difference in disease.

#### A deep dive into XX versus XY mediated sex differences

Differences between XX versus XY sex chromosome complements may be due to (1) the presence or absence of the Y chromosome, (2) differential imprinting of X genes based on maternal  $(X_m)$  versus paternal  $(X_p)$  parent-of-origin in  $X_m X_p$ versus  $X_mY$ , and (3) X dosage effects. Much focus is on the X chromosome instead of Y since the Y chromosome has evolved to lose most of its genes, except for those involved in male reproduction (Burgoyne, 1998). In contrast, the X chromosome contains about 10% of the human genome including a rich repository of genes, many with immune functions (TLR7 and TLR8; cluster differentiation 40 liqand; Forkhead box P3; C-X-C Motif Chemokine Receptor 3; Jiwrajka and Anguera, 2022) and others that are highly expressed in brain (Synaptophysin; Synapsin; Synapse Associated Protein 1; Proteolipid Protein 1; Monoamine Oxidase A and B; Collenberg et al., 2019; Fassio et al., 2011; Mallajosyula et al., 2008; Nguyen and Disteche, 2006a; Nguyen and Disteche, 2006b; Sun et al., 2020; Tarsa and Goda, 2002; Tatar et al., 2010). Regarding differential imprinting of X genes based on parent-of-origin (maternal versus paternal), transcriptomes of stimulated CD4+ T lymphocytes showed higher expression of a cluster of X genes when derived from XY as compared with XX mice, opposite the direction of an X-dosage effect. An increase in DNA methylation spanning many regions of the X chromosome of paternal origin  $(X_p)$ , as compared with maternal origin (X<sub>m</sub>), was found (Golden et al., 2019). DNA methylation usually suppresses gene expression, so this result was consistent with higher expression of a cluster of X genes in X<sub>m</sub>Y cells because all cells from X<sub>m</sub>Y mice express from the X<sub>m</sub>, so have minimal suppression. In contrast, X<sub>m</sub>X<sub>p</sub> mice have half of their cells expressing from the  $X_{\rm m}$  and half from the  $X_{\rm p}$  due to random X chromosome inactivation, so the overall cell population has relatively more suppression. The implications of these findings are that parent-of-origin differences in DNA methylation of the X chromosome can lead to sex differences in X gene expression, namely higher expression in  $X_mY$  than  $X_mX_p$  for a cluster of genes (Golden et al., 2019; Voskuhl et al., 2018).

The effect of having two X chromosomes on susceptibility to systemic lupus erythematosus, which has a female to male sex bias of ~9:1, has been reviewed (Jiwrajka and Anguera, 2022). A role for partial inactivation of immune genes on the X chromosome resulted in a partial dosage effect of TLR7, with higher expression in XX than XY (Souyris et al., 2018; Souyris et al., 2019). Also, skewed X chromosome inactivation has been

observed in immune cells of females with rheumatologic diseases, whereby there is preferential (non-random, not 50%) activation of the maternal versus the paternal X chromosome (70% or more; Amos-Landgraf et al., 2006). Implications for skewed X-inactivation on gene expression at the cell population level are magnified by the potential for parent-of-origin differences in methylation and its effect on expression of X chromosome genes (Golden et al., 2019; Jiwrajka and Anguera, 2022; Voskuhl et al., 2018).

X-escapees are X chromosome genes known to escape X-inactivation (3% in mice and 15% in humans; Berletch et al., 2011). Sex differences in health and disease could be due to the dosage of known X-escapees, with higher expression in XX than XY. Lysine-specific demethylase 6A (Kdm6a) is an X-chromosome gene known to escape X-inactivation (Disteche et al., 2002; Greenfield et al., 1998). This gene encodes for a histone demethylase that removes suppressive histone marks to broadly upregulate both autosomal and sex chromosome gene expression. Selective deletion of *Kdm6a* in CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes in active EAE in C57BL/6 mice reduced walking disability and decreased neuropathology in spinal cord. RNA-sequencing of CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes from conditional knockout (CKO) versus WT revealed the downregulation of expression of neuroinflammation signaling pathway genes (Purinergic Receptor; C-X-C Motif Chemokine Liqand 10; Tlr1, Amyloid Precursor Protein [APP]). Peripheral immune responses in the CKO showed less memory and more naive phenotype, including lower expression of CD44 on CD62L<sup>+</sup> T cells. Analysis of chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing data showed more repressive histone H3 lysine trimethylation (H3K27me3) modifications on the CD44 gene in the CKO (Itoh et al., 2019). Thus, Kdm6a was shown to be proinflammatory in CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes of C57BL/6 mice and to confer worse neurodegeneration in the chronic EAE model. Interestingly, in a different EAE model, when transgenic Th17 T lymphocytes were adoptively transferred into NOD. Scid mice, Q:5 Th17 cells from males induced more severe disease, and there was a higher frequency of pathogenic, IFNy producing Th17 cells as compared with those from females. Use of gonadectomy and the FCG model revealed that XY- genotype, not exposure to androgens, was responsible for the generation of more encephalitogenic Th17 cells and worse EAE severity in this model (Doss et al., 2021). *Kdm5c*, an X-escapee that is a histone H3 lysine 4 demethylase (Berletch et al., 2015), was then overexpressed in male Th17 cells using a retroviral vector, and this reduced Th17 pathogenicity and EAE severity. Thus, higher doses of Kdm5c were protective in transgenic Th17 cells (Doss et al., 2021). Another report showed that by restricting H3K4me3 modification at core promoters, Kdm5c dampens transcription, but at enhancers *Kdm5c* stimulates their activity in mouse embryonic stem cells and neuronal progenitor cells (Outchkourov et al., 2013). Together, these findings reveal how X-escapees can regulate gene expression to impact immune responses and neurodegeneration.

#### Sex chromosome effects in the CNS

A significantly higher proportion of genes on the X chromosome, as compared to genes on autosomes, are preferentially expressed

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

in the brain compared to other somatic tissues (Nguyen and Disteche, 2006a; Nguyen and Disteche, 2006b). The accumulation of brain-specific genes located on the X chromosome over evolution puts them in a unique position to influence the CNS response to injury. In EAE or MS, that injury would be an immune attack. The CNS response to an immune attack involves microglial and astrocyte activation, demyelination, axonal damage, and synaptic loss in MS (Chomyk et al., 2017; Dutta et al., 2011; Mori et al., 2013; Trapp et al., 2007) and EAE (Centonze et al., 2010; Meyer et al., 2020; Nistico et al., 2013; Rasmussen et al., 2007; Ziehn et al., 2012a; Ziehn et al., 2012b; Ziehn et al., 2010). A sex chromosome complement effect in the CNS during EAE was shown using the FCG model (Du et al., 2014). Bone marrow chimeras were created to study sex chromosome effects in the CNS, not confounded by differences in the immune system. Specifically, XX versus XY- bone marrow chimeras were reconstituted with a common immune system of one sex chromosome complement. EAE mice with XY- sex chromosome complement in the CNS, compared with XX, demonstrated worse EAE clinical severity with more neuropathology in spinal cord (axonal and myelin loss), cerebellum (Purkinje cell and myelin loss), and cerebral cortex (synaptic loss). This was the first demonstration of an effect of sex chromosome complement on neurodegeneration in a neurodegenerative disease. These data coincide with clinical observations in humans that while females (XX) are more susceptible to MS, men (XY) have worse disability progression (Confavreux et al., 2003; Koch et al., 2010; Ribbons et al., 2015; Voskuhl et al., 2020; Weinshenker, 1994).

In an AD model where mice express human APP (hAPP), the FCG model showed that mice of the XY– sex chromosome complement had worse mortality and cognitive deficits than XX (Davis et al., 2020). Also, lentivirus vector-induced knockdown of *Kdm6a* expression in XX neurons worsened amyloid  $\beta$  (A $\beta$ )– mediated neuronal toxicity using in vitro assays, while *Kdm6a* overexpression in XY– neurons reduced toxicity. This was consistent with a dose effect whereby two copies of *Kdm6a* in XX were protective as compared with one copy in XY–. Also, when *Kdm6a* was overexpressed through lentivirus injection into the dentate gyrus in vivo, there were less cognitive deficits in XY–hAPP mice. The CNS cell that overexpressed *Kdm6a* after injection into the dentate gyrus was not determined. Further study is warranted using a cell-specific knockout of physiologic levels of *Kdm6a* in neurons in vivo in XX-hAPP mice.

Together, the above reveals a deleterious effect of the XY sex chromosome complement in the CNS in MS and AD models, but the CNS cell type mediating this effect in vivo remains unclear. Further, since selective deletion of the X-escapee *Kdm6a* in CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes in C57BL/6 mice ameliorated EAE, revealing a deleterious effect of *Kdm6a* on immune-mediated neurodegeneration, it is important to do selective deletion of the *Kdm6a* in each CNS cell to ascertain the effect of *Kdm6a* on neurodegeneration in a tissue-specific and cell-specific manner in each disease. Since *Kdm6a* can regulate autosomal gene expression, this is consistent with studies showing sex differences in gene expression which are tissue-specific and cell-specific during health (Kim-Hellmuth et al., 2020; Oliva et al., 2020).

#### Sex differences in microglia

Microglia, the resident immune cells of the CNS, lie at the intersection of immune and neurodegenerative mechanisms. Microglia can confer beneficial and deleterious effects during normal development and disease (Hammond et al., 2019; Hong et al., 2016; Schafer et al., 2013; Shi et al., 2017; Stevens and Schafer, 2018; Vasek et al., 2016). Microglia become activated in white and gray matter to play a critical role in neurodegenerative conditions (Absinta et al., 2021; Hui et al., 2020; Jackle et al., 2020; Priller and Prinz, 2019; Schirmer et al., 2021; Yanguas-Casas et al., 2020). Deleterious effects of microglia in MS and EAE include creating a proinflammatory environment that inhibits oligodendrocytes from remyelinating axons in white matter lesions (Absinta et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2018). Brain MRI has identified paramagnetic phase rims lesions in white matter of MS patients, thought to reflect ongoing microglial damage that contributes to worsening disability (de Haan and Karnath, 2018; Ruggieri et al., 2018). Specifically, lesions with outer rims indicate iron accumulation in microglia and macrophages, thereby serving as a biomarker for these lesions having chronic-active inflammation. A sex difference was reported whereby white matter lesions visualized in men were significantly more likely to have paramagnetic phase rims than lesions in women (Tolaymat et al., 2020). Deleterious effects of microglia activation in gray matter include synaptic engulfment and synaptic loss (Schirmer et al., 2021; Werneburg et al., 2020). On the other hand, beneficial effects of microglia include clearance of myelin debris and other molecules toxic to neurons and oligodendrocytes (Dong and Yong, 2019; Rawji et al., 2020).

Microglial activation is also an important component of brain aging and AD (Grabert et al., 2016; Mangold et al., 2017; Mishra and Brinton, 2018; Pan et al., 2020). Microglia are thought to play a beneficial role in early stages of AD and a deleterious role in later stages (Keren-Shaul et al., 2017; Stephen et al., 2019). Genetic risks for sporadic, late-onset AD have been linked to Triggering Receptor Expressed on Myeloid Cells 2 (TREM2), which is expressed in microglia (Jin et al., 2014; Jonsson et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2020; Prokop et al., 2019; Ulrich et al., 2017). Extracellular A $\beta$  deposition is one of the earliest pathologies and precedes cognitive decline. Activation of TREM2 in microglia limits Aβ-mediated damage through plaque compaction and clearance (Shi and Holtzman, 2018). Another genetic risk factor is inheritance of allele 4 of apolipoprotein E (APOE4; Krasemann et al., 2017). The APOE4 isoform is associated with higher  $A\beta$ levels in brain than APOE3 (Stephen et al., 2019). Higher levels of A $\beta$  aggregates are thought to stimulate microglia activation which could have deleterious effects on inducing oxidative stress, mitochondrial damage, and synaptic loss (Shi and Holtzman, 2018). A sex by APOE genotype interaction has also been described. Namely, the beneficial effect of microglial on plaque compaction in an AD mouse model was significantly less in female mice with the APOE4 genotype (Stephen et al., 2019). This aligns with clinical data that women who are APOE4 carriers have increased risk for AD (Riedel et al., 2016). The role of microglia in the progression of AD as it relates to APOE genotype, sex, and aging remains unclear and is of major interest, as reviewed (Chen et al., 2021; Patel et al., 2022). Other sex

# **\$**JEM

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

JEM | Journal of Experimental Medicine

Figure 3. **Bedside to Bench to Bedside to study sex differences in neurodegeneration: Region-specific, cell-specific, and sex-specific research.** Clinical observations of sex differences are investigated at the preclinical level and then translated back to the clinic as trials designed for each sex. Bench investigations entail in vivo MRI for region-specific atrophy, neuropathology of each region, RNA sequencing of each CNS cell from each region, immunohisto-chemistry validation of genes in top differentially expressed pathways, knockout of target gene in each CNS cell (CKO) to reverse phenotype, and knockdown of target gene with pharmacologic treatment (Tx) to reverse phenotype. Reiteration can determine the effect of genetic (CKO versus WT) and/or pharma-cologic (treatment versus placebo) intervention on reversal of gene expression using the same cell-specific and region-specific approach in each sex. Also, replacement of female versus male mice in the beginning with gonadectomized versus gonadally intact mice will reveal activational effects of sex hormones, while FCG mice will reveal developmental hormone effects or sex chromosome effects, each in a region-specific and cell-specific manner.

differences in microglia have also been reviewed in aging and AD (Delage et al., 2021; Lynch, 2022; Yanguas-Casas et al., 2020). The regions of focus are hippocampus and prefrontal cortex in the context of effects on cognition. A variety of sex differences have been described, most frequently involving the level and functional type of microglial activation and its association with synaptic phagocytosis and loss.

Estrogens and androgens have been shown to reduce microglial activation (Christensen et al., 2020; Drew and Chavis, 2000; Kim et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2013; Ziehn et al., 2012b), but less is known about sex chromosome effects in microglia. Expression of *Kdm6a/KDM6A* in microglia in mice and humans was examined by our group using an existing RNA sequencing dataset for the microglia transcriptome from forebrain (GSE117646) of RiboTag mice (Kang et al., 2018). The mean Kdm6a expression level in microglia was 32.07 transcripts per million (TPM) in females and 23.04 TPM in males (P = 4.27 ×  $10^{-12}$ ), whereas the average TPM for all expressed genes was 8.18 TPM in females and 8.18 TPM in males. In humans, using the existing RNA sequencing dataset for microglia isolated from corpus callosum (GSE111972), the mean expression of KDM6A gene was 8.52 TPM in females and 6.19 TPM in males (P = 0.000857), while the mean TPM for all expressed genes was 3.14 TPM in females and 3.19 TPM in males. Together, this demonstrated that Kdm6a and KDM6A are expressed in microglia in mice and humans, respectively, and indeed showed a dosage effect with higher expression in females than males. Selective deletion of *Kdm6a* in microglia is now warranted to determine its effect on aging and models of neurodegenerative diseases.

#### Concluding remarks and future directions

A cell-specific, region-specific, and sex-specific approach to neurodegeneration is warranted and is consistent with the sex bias in gene expression observed in humans during health (Kim-Hellmuth et al., 2020; Oliva et al., 2020). There are gene expression differences from one brain region to another in neurons (Ko et al., 2013), microglia (Grabert et al., 2016), astrocytes (Chai et al., 2017; Khakh and Sofroniew, 2015), and oligodendrocytes (Marques et al., 2016; Vigano et al., 2013). A difference in gene expression in astrocytes from one brain region to another during neurodegenerative disease was first shown in EAE (Itoh et al., 2018). Spinal cord astrocytes had decreased expression of cholesterol synthesis genes, and treatments targeting a cholesterol transporter improved clinical scores and decreased neuropathology (Itoh et al., 2018). RNA sequencing of astrocytes from optic nerve identified the complement pathway as a potential target, and sex differences suggested that treatments targeting the complement pathway during optic neuritis may be more effective in females (Tassoni et al., 2019). RNA sequencing of oligodendrocytes in corpus callosum during remyelination after cuprizone-mediated demyelination suggested targeting estrogen response elements of cholesterol synthesis genes as a strategy for enhancing remyelination (Voskuhl et al., 2019). These examples provide evidence that understanding the effect of biological sex on cell-specific and region-specific transcriptomes in the CNS can point to novel treatments targeting neurodegeneration optimized for women and men.

Since microglia reside at the intersection of immune and neurodegenerative mechanisms, they are a critical cell in the

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

study of sex differences in neurodegeneration. Future directions warrant a region-specific and sex-specific approach to the study of microglia in health versus disease, as shown in Fig. 3. Such preclinical findings can serve as a basis for translation to clinical trials in humans. This will inform whether sex hormones, sex chromosomes, or both contribute to sex differences in neuro-degeneration across the lifespan, as shown in Fig. 1, paving the way for discovery of precision treatments tailored to achieve neuroprotection in women and men.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (ROI-NSI09670 to R. Voskuhl; ROI-NS096748 to R. Voskuhl), the Conrad N. Hilton Foundation (#18394 to R. Voskuhl), and funding from the Tom Sherak MS Hope Foundation, the Rhoda Goetz Foundation for Multiple Sclerosis, the Dunk MS Foundation, and the Nancy Davis Race to Erase Multiple Sclerosis Foundation.

Author contributions: R. Voskuhl conceptualized, designed, and wrote the manuscript. R. Voskuhl provided grant funding support. Y. Itoh consulted on conceptual issues, performed analyses, edited writing, and made the figures.

Disclosures: R. Voskuhl reported a patent number #9,962,395 licensed CleopatraRX, a patent number #10,369,158 licensed CleopatraRX, and a patent number #10,758,496 issued; all patents are owned by the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) with R. Voskuhl's role being an inventor on these UCLA patents. No other disclosures were reported.

Submitted: 1 March 2022 Revised: 22 June 2022 Accepted: 12 October 2022

### References

- Absinta, M., D. Maric, M. Gharagozloo, T. Garton, M.D. Smith, J. Jin, K.C. Fitzgerald, A. Song, P. Liu, J.P. Lin, et al. 2021. A lymphocyte-microgliaastrocyte axis in chronic active multiple sclerosis. *Nature*. 597:709–714. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03892-7
- Amos-Landgraf, J.M., A. Cottle, R.M. Plenge, M. Friez, C.E. Schwartz, J. Longshore, and H.F. Willard. 2006. X chromosome-inactivation patterns of 1, 005 phenotypically unaffected females. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 79: 493–499. https://doi.org/10.1086/507565
- Arnold, A.P. 2004. Sex chromosomes and brain gender. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 5: 701–708. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1494
- Arnold, A.P., and P.S. Burgoyne. 2004. Are XX and XY brain cells intrinsically different? Trends Endocrinol. Metabol. 15:6–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .tem.2003.11.001
- Azcoitia, I., M. Santos-Galindo, M.A. Arevalo, and L.M. Garcia-Segura. 2010. Role of astroglia in the neuroplastic and neuroprotective actions of estradiol. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 32:1995–2002. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460 -9568.2010.07516.x
- Baroncini, D., P.O. Annovazzi, N. De Rossi, G. Mallucci, V. Torri Clerici, S. Tonietti, V. Mantero, M.T. Ferro, M.J. Messina, V. Barcella, et al. 2019. Impact of natural menopause on multiple sclerosis: A multicentre study. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry. 90:1201–1206. https://doi.org/10 .1136/jnnp-2019-320587
- Beatty, W.W., and R.L. Aupperle. 2002. Sex differences in cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. *The Clin. Neuropsychol.* 16:472–480. https://doi.org/10.1076/clin.16.4.472.13904

- Berletch, J.B., W. Ma, F. Yang, J. Shendure, W.S. Noble, C.M. Disteche, and X. Deng. 2015. Escape from X inactivation varies in mouse tissues. PLoS Genet. 11:e1005079. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005079
- Berletch, J.B., F. Yang, J. Xu, L. Carrel, and C.M. Disteche. 2011. Genes that escape from X inactivation. *Hum. Genet.* 130:237–245. https://doi.org/10 .1007/s00439-011-1011-z
- Blencowe, M., X. Chen, Y. Zhao, Y. Itoh, C.N. McQuillen, Y. Han, B.L. Shou, R. McClusky, K. Reue, A.P. Arnold, and X. Yang. 2022. Relative contributions of sex hormones, sex chromosomes, and gonads to sex differences in tissue gene regulation. *Genome Res.* 32:807–824. https://doi .org/10.1101/gr.275965.121
- Bourque, M., M. Morissette, and T. Di Paolo. 2019. Repurposing sex steroids and related drugs as potential treatment for Parkinson's disease. *Neuropharmacology*. 147:37–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2018 .04.005
- Bove, R., T. Chitnis, and M. Houtchens. 2014a. Menopause in multiple sclerosis: Therapeutic considerations. J. Neurol. 261:1257–1268. https://doi .org/10.1007/s00415-013-7131-8
- Bove, R., B.C. Healy, A. Musallam, B.I. Glanz, P.L. De Jager, and T. Chitnis. 2016a. Exploration of changes in disability after menopause in a longitudinal multiple sclerosis cohort. *Mult. Scler.* 22:935–943. https://doi .org/10.1177/1352458515606211
- Bove, R., E. Secor, L.B. Chibnik, L.L. Barnes, J.A. Schneider, D.A. Bennett, and P.L. De Jager. 2014b. Age at surgical menopause influences cognitive decline and Alzheimer pathology in older women. *Neurology*. 82: 222–229. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000000033
- Bove, R., T. Vaughan, T. Chitnis, P. Wicks, and P.L. De Jager. 2016b. Women's experiences of menopause in an online MS cohort: A case series. *Mult. Scler. Relat. Disord.* 9:56–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msard.2016.06.015
- Brennan, D., T. Wu, and J. Fan. 2021. Morphometrical brain markers of sex difference. Cereb. Cortex. 31:3641–3649. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/ bhab037
- Brenner, S. 2012. Solvents may act as estrogen blockers in development of Parkinson disease. Ann. Neurol. 72:477. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana .23625
- Burgoyne, P.S. 1998. The mammalian Y chromosome: A new perspective. BioEssays. 20:363-366. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-1878(199805) 20:5<363::AID-BIES2>3.0.CO;2-P
- Carter, C.L., E.M. Resnick, M. Mallampalli, and A. Kalbarczyk. 2012. Sex and gender differences in Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations for future research. J. Women's Health. 21:1018–1023. https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh .2012.3789
- Centonze, D., L. Muzio, S. Rossi, R. Furlan, G. Bernardi, and G. Martino. 2010. The link between inflammation, synaptic transmission and neurodegeneration in multiple sclerosis. *Cell Death Differ*. 17:1083–1091. https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2009.179
- Chai, H., B. Diaz-Castro, E. Shigetomi, E. Monte, J.C. Octeau, X. Yu, W. Cohn, P.S. Rajendran, T.M. Vondriska, J.P. Whitelegge, et al. 2017. Neural circuit-specialized astrocytes: Transcriptomic, proteomic, morphological, and functional evidence. *Neuron*. 95:531–549.e9. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.neuron.2017.06.029
- Chen, Y., T. Hong, F. Chen, Y. Sun, Y. Wang, and L. Cui. 2021. Interplay between microglia and Alzheimer's disease-focus on the most relevant risks: APOE genotype, sex and age. Front. Aging Neurosci. 13:631827. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2021.631827
- Cherrier, M.M., A.M. Matsumoto, J.K. Amory, S. Ahmed, W. Bremner, E.R. Peskind, M.A. Raskind, M. Johnson, and S. Craft. 2005. The role of aromatization in testosterone supplementation: Effects on cognition in older men. *Neurology*. 64:290–296. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.WNL .0000149639.25136.CA
- Chomyk, A.M., C. Volsko, A. Tripathi, S.A. Deckard, B.D. Trapp, R.J. Fox, and R. Dutta. 2017. DNA methylation in demyelinated multiple sclerosis hippocampus. *Sci. Rep.* 7:8696. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017 -08623-5
- Christensen, A., J. Liu, and C.J. Pike. 2020. Aging reduces estradiol protection against neural but not metabolic effects of obesity in female 3xTg-AD mice. Front. Aging Neurosci. 12:113. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2020 .00113
- Clayton, J.A. 2016. Studying both sexes: A guiding principle for biomedicine. FASEB J. 30:519–524. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.15-279554
- Clayton, J.A., and F.S. Collins. 2014. Policy: NIH to balance sex in cell and animal studies. *Nature*. 509:282–283. https://doi.org/10.1038/509282a
- Collenberg, C., D. Schmitt, T. Rulicke, M. Sendtner, R. Blum, and E. Buchner. 2019. An essential role of the mouse synapse-associated protein Syap1

in circuits for spontaneous motor activity and rotarod balance. Biol. Open. 8:bio042366. https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.042366

- Confavreux, C., S. Vukusic, and P. Adeleine. 2003. Early clinical predictors and progression of irreversible disability in multiple sclerosis: An amnesic process. Brain A J. Neurol. 126:770–782. https://doi.org/10.1093/ brain/awg081
- Corre, C., M. Friedel, D.A. Vousden, A. Metcalf, S. Spring, L.R. Qiu, J.P. Lerch, and M.R. Palmert. 2016. Separate effects of sex hormones and sex chromosomes on brain structure and function revealed by highresolution magnetic resonance imaging and spatial navigation assessment of the Four Core Genotype mouse model. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 221: 997–1016. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-014-0952-0
- Cosgrove, K.P., C.M. Mazure, and J.K. Staley. 2007. Evolving knowledge of sex differences in brain structure, function, and chemistry. Biol. Psychiat. 62:847-855. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.03.001
- Currie, L.J., M.B. Harrison, J.M. Trugman, J.P. Bennett, and G.F. Wooten. 2004. Postmenopausal estrogen use affects risk for Parkinson disease. Arch. Neurol. 61:886–888. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.61.6.886
- Davis, E.J., L. Broestl, S. Abdulai-Saiku, K. Worden, L.W. Bonham, E. Minones-Moyano, A.J. Moreno, D. Wang, K. Chang, G. Williams, et al. 2020. A second X chromosome contributes to resilience in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Sci. Transl. Med. 12:eaaz5677. https://doi.org/10 .1126/scitranslmed.aaz5677
- de Haan, B., and H.O. Karnath. 2018. A hitchhiker's guide to lesion-behaviour mapping. Neuropsychologia. 115:5–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .neuropsychologia.2017.10.021
- De Vries, G.J. 2004. Minireview: Sex differences in adult and developing brains: Compensation, compensation, compensation. *Endocrinology*. 145: 1063–1068. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2003-1504
- De Vries, G.J. 2005. Sex steroids and sex chromosomes at odds? *Endocrinology*. 146:3277-3279. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2005-0612
- Delage, C.I., E. Simoncicova, and M.E. Tremblay. 2021. Microglial heterogeneity in aging and Alzheimer's disease: Is sex relevant? J. Pharmacol. Sci. 146:169–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphs.2021.03.006
- Disteche, C.M., G.N. Filippova, and K.D. Tsuchiya. 2002. Escape from X inactivation. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 99:36–43. https://doi.org/10.1159/ 000071572
- DonCarlos, L.L., S. Sarkey, B. Lorenz, I. Azcoitia, D. Garcia-Ovejero, C. Huppenbauer, and L.M. Garcia-Segura. 2006. Novel cellular phenotypes and subcellular sites for androgen action in the forebrain. *Neuroscience*. 138:801–807. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2005.06.020
- Dong, Y., and V.W. Yong. 2019. When encephalitogenic T cells collaborate with microglia in multiple sclerosis. Nat. Rev. Neurol. 15:704–717. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-019-0253-6
- Doroshenko, E.R., P.C. Drohomyrecky, A. Gower, H. Whetstone, L.S. Cahill, M. Ganguly, S. Spring, T.J. Yi, J.G. Sled, and S.E. Dunn. 2021. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-delta deficiency in microglia results in exacerbated axonal injury and tissue loss in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Front. Immunol.* 12:570425. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fimmu.2021.570425
- Doss, P.M.I.A., M. Umair, J. Baillargeon, R. Fazazi, N. Fudge, I. Akbar, A.P. Yeola, J.B. Williams, M. Leclercq, C. Joly-Beauparlant, et al. 2021. Male sex chromosomal complement exacerbates the pathogenicity of Th17 cells in a chronic model of central nervous system autoimmunity. *Cell Rep.* 34:108833. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108833
- Drew, P.D., and J.A. Chavis. 2000. Female sex steroids: Effects upon microglial cell activation. J. Neuroimmunol. 111:77–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0165-5728(00)00386-6
- Du, S., N. Itoh, S. Askarinam, H. Hill, A.P. Arnold, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2014. XY sex chromosome complement, compared with XX, in the CNS confers greater neurodegeneration during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 111:2806–2811. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.1307091111
- Dumas, J., C. Hancur-Bucci, M. Naylor, C. Sites, and P. Newhouse. 2008. Estradiol interacts with the cholinergic system to affect verbal memory in postmenopausal women: Evidence for the critical period hypothesis. *Horm. Behav.* 53:159–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2007.09.011
- Dunn, S.E., S.S. Ousman, R.A. Sobel, L. Zuniga, S.E. Baranzini, S. Youssef, A. Crowell, J. Loh, J. Oksenberg, and L. Steinman. 2007. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)alpha expression in T cells mediates gender differences in development of T cell-mediated autoimmunity. J. Exp. Med. 204:321–330. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem .20061839
- Dutta, R., A. Chang, M.K. Doud, G.J. Kidd, M.V. Ribaudo, E.A. Young, R.J. Fox, S.M. Staugaitis, and B.D. Trapp. 2011. Demyelination causes synaptic

alterations in hippocampi from multiple sclerosis patients. *Ann. Neurol.* 69:445–454. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.22337

- Epperson, C.N., M.D. Sammel, and E.W. Freeman. 2013. Menopause effects on verbal memory: Findings from a longitudinal community cohort. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metabol. 98:3829–3838. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2013-1808
- Fassio, A., L. Patry, S. Congia, F. Onofri, A. Piton, J. Gauthier, D. Pozzi, M. Messa, E. Defranchi, M. Fadda, et al. 2011. SYN1 loss-of-function mutations in autism and partial epilepsy cause impaired synaptic function. *Hum. Mole. Genet.* 20:2297–2307. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddr122
- Garcia-Ovejero, D., S. Veiga, L.M. Garcia-Segura, and L.L. Doncarlos. 2002. Glial expression of estrogen and androgen receptors after rat brain injury. J. Comp. Neurol. 450:256–271. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10325
- Golden, L.C., Y. Itoh, N. Itoh, S. Iyengar, P. Coit, Y. Salama, A.P. Arnold, A.H. Sawalha, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2019. Parent-of-origin differences in DNA methylation of X chromosome genes in T lymphocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 116:26779–26787. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1910072116
- Grabert, K., T. Michoel, M.H. Karavolos, S. Clohisey, J.K. Baillie, M.P. Stevens, T.C. Freeman, K.M. Summers, and B.W. McColl. 2016. Microglial brain region-dependent diversity and selective regional sensitivities to aging. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19:504–516. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4222
- Graves, J.S., R.G. Henry, B.A.C. Cree, G. Lambert-Messerlian, R.M. Greenblatt, E. Waubant, M.I. Cedars, A. Zhu, S.F.M.S.E.T. University of California, P. Bacchetti, et al. 2018. Ovarian aging is associated with gray matter volume and disability in women with MS. *Neurology*. 90:e254–e260. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.000000000004843
- Greendale, G.A., M.H. Huang, R.G. Wight, T. Seeman, C. Luetters, N.E. Avis, J. Johnston, and A.S. Karlamangla. 2009. Effects of the menopause transition and hormone use on cognitive performance in midlife women. *Neurology*. 72:1850–1857. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL .0b013e3181a71193
- Greendale, G.A., R.G. Wight, M.H. Huang, N. Avis, E.B. Gold, H. Joffe, T. Seeman, M. Vuge, and A.S. Karlamangla. 2010. Menopause-associated symptoms and cognitive performance: Results from the study of women's health across the nation. Am. J. Epidemiol. 171:1214–1224. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwq067
- Greenfield, A., L. Carrel, D. Pennisi, C. Philippe, N. Quaderi, P. Siggers, K. Steiner, P.P. Tam, A.P. Monaco, H.F. Willard, and P. Koopman. 1998. The UTX gene escapes X inactivation in mice and humans. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 7:737–742. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/7.4.737
- Gur, R.C., B.I. Turetsky, M. Matsui, M. Yan, W. Bilker, P. Hughett, and R.E. Gur. 1999. Sex differences in brain gray and white matter in healthy young adults: Correlations with cognitive performance. J. Neurosci. 19: 4065–4072. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.19-10-04065.1999
- Halbreich, U., L.A. Lumley, S. Palter, C. Manning, F. Gengo, and S.H. Joe. 1995.
  Possible acceleration of age effects on cognition following menopause.
  J. Psychiatr. Res. 29:153–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(95)
  00005-p
- Hammond, T.R., S.E. Marsh, and B. Stevens. 2019. Immune signaling in neurodegeneration. *Immunity*. 50:955–974. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .immuni.2019.03.016
- Holmqvist, P., M. Wallberg, M. Hammar, A.M. Landtblom, and J. Brynhildsen. 2006. Symptoms of multiple sclerosis in women in relation to sex steroid exposure. *Maturitas*. 54:149–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .maturitas.2005.10.003
- Hong, S., V.F. Beja-Glasser, B.M. Nfonoyim, A. Frouin, S. Li, S. Ramakrishnan, K.M. Merry, Q. Shi, A. Rosenthal, B.A. Barres, et al. 2016. Complement and microglia mediate early synapse loss in Alzheimer mouse models. *Science*. 352:712–716. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad8373
- Hui, C.W., H.A. Vecchiarelli, E. Gervais, X. Luo, F. Michaud, L. Scheefhals, K. Bisht, K. Sharma, L. Topolnik, and M.E. Tremblay. 2020. Sex differences of microglia and synapses in the hippocampal dentate gyrus of adult mouse offspring exposed to maternal immune activation. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 14:558181. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2020.558181
- Itoh, N., Y. Itoh, A. Tassoni, E. Ren, M. Kaito, A. Ohno, Y. Ao, V. Farkhondeh, H. Johnsonbaugh, J. Burda, et al. 2018. Cell-specific and region-specific transcriptomics in the multiple sclerosis model: Focus on astrocytes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 115:E302–E309. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .1716032115
- Itoh, N., C. Meyer, Y. Itoh, D. Mangu, T. Suen, E. Jang, V. Tse, A. MacKenzie-Graham, and R. Voskuhl. 2022. Mind the Gap: Estrogen receptor beta  $(ER\beta)$  in astrocytes is a therapeutic target to prevent cognitive problems at menopause. *Res. Square*
- Itoh, Y., L.C. Golden, N. Itoh, M.A. Matsukawa, E. Ren, V. Tse, A.P. Arnold, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2019. The X-linked histone demethylase Kdm6a in

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes modulates autoimmunity. J. Clin. Invest. 129: 3852–3863. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI126250

- Jackle, K., T. Zeis, N. Schaeren-Wiemers, A. Junker, F. van der Meer, N. Kramann, C. Stadelmann, and W. Bruck. 2020. Molecular signature of slowly expanding lesions in progressive multiple sclerosis. Brain A J. Neurol. 143:2073–2088. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa158
- Jin, S.C., B.A. Benitez, C.M. Karch, B. Cooper, T. Skorupa, D. Carrell, J.B. Norton, S. Hsu, O. Harari, Y. Cai, et al. 2014. Coding variants in TREM2 increase risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 23:5838–5846. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddu277
- Jiwrajka, N., and M.C. Anguera. 2022. The X in seX-biased immunity and autoimmune rheumatic disease. J. Exp. Med. 219:e20211487. https://doi .org/10.1084/jem.20211487
- Jonsson, T., H. Stefansson, S. Steinberg, I. Jonsdottir, P.V. Jonsson, J. Snaedal, S. Bjornsson, J. Huttenlocher, A.I. Levey, J.J. Lah, et al. 2013. Variant of TREM2 associated with the risk of Alzheimer's disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 368:107–116. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1211103
- Jurado-Coronel, J.C., R. Cabezas, M.F. Avila Rodriguez, V. Echeverria, L.M. Garcia-Segura, and G.E. Barreto. 2018. Sex differences in Parkinson's disease: Features on clinical symptoms, treatment outcome, sexual hormones and genetics. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 50:18–30. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2017.09.002
- Kang, S.S., M.T.W. Ebbert, K.E. Baker, C. Cook, X. Wang, J.P. Sens, J.P. Kocher, L. Petrucelli, and J.D. Fryer. 2018. Microglial translational profiling reveals a convergent APOE pathway from aging, amyloid, and tau. J. Exp. Med. 215:2235–2245. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20180653
- Keren-Shaul, H., A. Spinrad, A. Weiner, O. Matcovitch-Natan, R. Dvir-Szternfeld, T.K. Ulland, E. David, K. Baruch, D. Lara-Astaiso, B. Toth, et al. 2017. A unique microglia type associated with restricting development of Alzheimer's disease. *Cell*. 169:1276–1290.e17. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.018
- Khakh, B.S., and M.V. Sofroniew. 2015. Diversity of astrocyte functions and phenotypes in neural circuits. Nat. Neurosci. 18:942–952. https://doi .org/10.1038/nn.4043
- Kim, R.Y., D. Mangu, A.S. Hoffman, R. Kavosh, E. Jung, N. Itoh, and R. Voskuhl. 2018. Oestrogen receptor beta ligand acts on CD11c<sup>+</sup> cells to mediate protection in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Brain* A J. Neurol. 141:132–147. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awx315
- Kim-Hellmuth, S., F. Aguet, M. Oliva, M. Munoz-Aguirre, S. Kasela, V. Wucher, S.E. Castel, A.R. Hamel, A. Vinuela, A.L. Roberts, et al. 2020. Cell type-specific genetic regulation of gene expression across human tissues. *Science*. 369:eaaz8528. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz8528
- Klein, S.L., and K.L. Flanagan. 2016. Sex differences in immune responses. Nat. Rev. Immunol., 16:626–638. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2016.90
- Ko, Y., S.A. Ament, J.A. Eddy, J. Caballero, J.C. Earls, L. Hood, and N.D. Price. 2013. Cell type-specific genes show striking and distinct patterns of spatial expression in the mouse brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 110: 3095–3100. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1222897110
- Koch, M., E. Kingwell, P. Rieckmann, and H. Tremlett. , and UBC MS Clinic Neurologists. 2010. The natural history of secondary progressive multiple sclerosis. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry. 81:1039–1043. https://doi .org/10.1136/jnnp.2010.208173
- Krasemann, S., C. Madore, R. Cialic, C. Baufeld, N. Calcagno, R. El Fatimy, L. Beckers, E. O'Loughlin, Y. Xu, Z. Fanek, et al. 2017. The TREM2-APOE pathway drives the transcriptional phenotype of dysfunctional microglia in neurodegenerative diseases. *Immunity*. 47:566–581.e9. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.08.008
- Krysko, K.M., J.S. Graves, R. Dobson, A. Altintas, M.P. Amato, J. Bernard, S. Bonavita, R. Bove, P. Cavalla, M. Clerico, et al. 2020. Sex effects across the lifespan in women with multiple sclerosis. *Ther. Adv. Neurol. Disord.* 13:1756286420936166. https://doi.org/10.1177/1756286420936166
- Kurth, F., L. Jancke, and E. Luders. 2017. Sexual dimorphism of Broca's region: More gray matter in female brains in Brodmann areas 44 and 45. J. Neurosci. Res. 95:626–632. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.23898
- Libert, C., L. Dejager, and I. Pinheiro. 2010. The X chromosome in immune functions: When a chromosome makes the difference. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 10:594–604. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2815
- Lopes-Ramos, C.M., C.Y. Chen, M.L. Kuijjer, J.N. Paulson, A.R. Sonawane, M. Fagny, J. Platig, K. Glass, J. Quackenbush, and D.L. DeMeo. 2020. Sex differences in gene expression and regulatory networks across 29 human tissues. *Cell Rep.* 31:107795. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020 .107795
- Luders, E., and A.W. Toga. 2010. Sex differences in brain anatomy. Prog. Brain Res. 186:3–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53630-3.00001-4

- Luders, E., A.W. Toga, and P.M. Thompson. 2014. Why size matters: Differences in brain volume account for apparent sex differences in callosal anatomy: The sexual dimorphism of the corpus callosum. *Neuroimage*. 84:820–824. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.09.040
- Lynch, M.A. 2022. Exploring sex-related differences in microglia may Be a game-changer in precision medicine. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 14:868448. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2022.868448
- Mallajosyula, J.K., D. Kaur, S.J. Chinta, S. Rajagopalan, A. Rane, D.G. Nicholls, D.A. Di Monte, H. Macarthur, and J.K. Andersen. 2008. MAO-B elevation in mouse brain astrocytes results in Parkinson's pathology. PLoS One. 3:e1616. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001616
- Mangold, C.A., B. Wronowski, M. Du, D.R. Masser, N. Hadad, G.V. Bixler, R.M. Brucklacher, M.M. Ford, W.E. Sonntag, and W.M. Freeman. 2017. Sexually divergent induction of microglial-associated neuroinflammation with hippocampal aging. J. Neuroinflamm. 14:141. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12974-017-0920-8
- Marques, S., A. Zeisel, S. Codeluppi, D. van Bruggen, A. Mendanha Falcao, L. Xiao, H. Li, M. Haring, H. Hochgerner, R.A. Romanov, et al. 2016. Oligodendrocyte heterogeneity in the mouse juvenile and adult central nervous system. *Science*. 352:1326–1329. https://doi.org/10.1126/science .aaf6463
- McCarthy, M.M., C.S. Woolley, and A.P. Arnold. 2017. Incorporating sex as a biological variable in neuroscience: What do we gain? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 18:707–708. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.137
- McFarland, K., D.L. Price, C.N. Davis, J.N. Ma, D.W. Bonhaus, E.S. Burstein, and R. Olsson. 2013. AC-186, a selective nonsteroidal estrogen receptor beta agonist, shows gender specific neuroprotection in a Parkinson's disease rat model. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 4:1249–1255. https://doi.org/10 .1021/cn400132u
- Merchenthaler, I., M.V. Lane, S. Numan, and T.L. Dellovade. 2004. Distribution of estrogen receptor alpha and beta in the mouse central nervous system: In vivo autoradiographic and immunocytochemical analyses. J. Comp. Neurol. 473:270–291. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.20128
- Meyer, C.E., J.L. Gao, J.Y.J. Cheng, M.R. Oberoi, H. Johnsonbaugh, S. Lepore, F. Kurth, M.J. Thurston, N. Itoh, K.R. Patel, et al. 2020. Axonal damage in spinal cord is associated with gray matter atrophy in sensorimotor cortex in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Mult. Scler.* 26: 294–303. https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458519830614
- Meyer, C.E., F. Kurth, S. Lepore, J.L. Gao, H. Johnsonbaugh, M.R. Oberoi, S.J. Sawiak, and A. MacKenzie-Graham. 2017. In vivo magnetic resonance images reveal neuroanatomical sex differences through the application of voxel-based morphometry in C57BL/6 mice. *Neuroimage*. 163: 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.09.027
- Mielke, M.M., P. Vemuri, and W.A. Rocca. 2014. Clinical epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease: Assessing sex and gender differences. Clin. Epidemiol. 6:37-48. https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S37929
- Miller, K.J., R.V. Dye, J. Kim, J.L. Jennings, E. O'Toole, J. Wong, and P. Siddarth. 2013. Effect of a computerized brain exercise program on cognitive performance in older adults. *Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiat.* 21:655–663. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2013.01.077
- Mishra, A., and R.D. Brinton. 2018. Inflammation: Bridging age, menopause and APOE¢4 genotype to Alzheimer's disease. Front. Aging Neurosci. 10: 312. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2018.00312
- Mitra, S.W., E. Hoskin, J. Yudkovitz, L. Pear, H.A. Wilkinson, S. Hayashi, D.W. Pfaff, S. Ogawa, S.P. Rohrer, J.M. Schaeffer, et al. 2003. Immunolocalization of estrogen receptor beta in the mouse brain: Comparison with estrogen receptor alpha. *Endocrinology*. 144:2055–2067. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2002-221069
- Moldovan, I.R., A.C. Cotleur, N. Zamor, R.S. Butler, and C.M. Pelfrey. 2008. Multiple sclerosis patients show sexual dimorphism in cytokine responses to myelin antigens. J. Neuroimmunol. 193:161–169. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.jneuroim.2007.10.010
- Mori, F., S. Rossi, S. Piccinin, C. Motta, D. Mango, H. Kusayanagi, A. Bergami, V. Studer, C.G. Nicoletti, F. Buttari, et al. 2013. Synaptic plasticity and PDGF signaling defects underlie clinical progression in multiple sclerosis. J. Neurosci. 33:19112–19119. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI .2536-13.2013
- Nguyen, A.T., K. Wang, G. Hu, X. Wang, Z. Miao, J.A. Azevedo, E. Suh, V.M. Van Deerlin, D. Choi, K. Roeder, et al. 2020. APOE and TREM2 regulate amyloid-responsive microglia in Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol. 140:477–493. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-020-02200-3
- Nguyen, D.K., and C.M. Disteche. 2006a. Dosage compensation of the active X chromosome in mammals. *Nat. Genet.* 38:47–53. https://doi.org/10 .1038/ng1705

- Nguyen, D.K., and C.M. Disteche. 2006b. High expression of the mammalian X chromosome in brain. *Brain Res.* 1126:46–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .brainres.2006.08.053
- Nistico, R., D. Mango, G. Mandolesi, S. Piccinin, N. Berretta, M. Pignatelli, M. Feligioni, A. Musella, A. Gentile, F. Mori, et al. 2013. Inflammation subverts hippocampal synaptic plasticity in experimental multiple sclerosis. *PLoS One.* 8:e54666. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone .0054666
- Okun, M.S., M.R. DeLong, J. Hanfelt, M. Gearing, and A. Levey. 2004. Plasma testosterone levels in Alzheimer and Parkinson diseases. *Neurology*. 62: 411–413. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000106840.72938.84
- Okun, M.S., H.H. Fernandez, R.L. Rodriguez, J. Romrell, M. Suelter, S. Munson, E.D. Louis, T. Mulligan, P.S. Foster, B.V. Shenal, et al. 2006. Testosterone therapy in men with Parkinson disease: Results of the TEST-PD study. Arch. Neurol. 63:729–735. https://doi.org/10.1001/ archneur.63.5.729
- Okun, M.S., B.L. Walter, W.M. McDonald, J.L. Tenover, J. Green, J.L. Juncos, and M.R. DeLong. 2002. Beneficial effects of testosterone replacement for the nonmotor symptoms of Parkinson disease. Arch. Neurol. 59: 1750–1753. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.59.11.1750
- Oliva, M., M. Munoz-Aguirre, S. Kim-Hellmuth, V. Wucher, A.D.H. Gewirtz, D.J. Cotter, P. Parsana, S. Kasela, B. Balliu, A. Vinuela, et al. 2020. The impact of sex on gene expression across human tissues. *Science*. 369: eaba3066. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba3066
- Oltra, J., B. Segura, C. Uribe, G.C. Monte-Rubio, A. Campabadal, A. Inguanzo, J. Pardo, M.J. Marti, Y. Compta, F. Valldeoriola, et al. 2022. Sex differences in brain atrophy and cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease patients with and without probable rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. J. Neurol. 269:1591-1599. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-021 -10728-x
- Oltra, J., C. Uribe, A. Campabadal, A. Inguanzo, G.C. Monte-Rubio, M.J. Marti, Y. Compta, F. Valldeoriola, C. Junque, and B. Segura. 2021. Sex differences in brain and cognition in de novo Parkinson's Disease. Front. Aging Neurosci. 13:791532. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2021.791532
- Outchkourov, N.S., J.M. Muino, K. Kaufmann, W.F.J. van Ijcken, M.J. Groot Koerkamp, D. van Leenen, P. de Graaf, F.C.P. Holstege, F.G. Grosveld, and H.T.M. Timmers. 2013. Balancing of histone H3K4 methylation states by the Kdm5c/SMCX histone demethylase modulates promoter and enhancer function. *Cell Rep.* 3:1071–1079. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .celrep.2013.02.030
- Paech, K., P. Webb, G.G. Kuiper, S. Nilsson, J. Gustafsson, P.J. Kushner, and T.S. Scanlan. 1997. Differential ligand activation of estrogen receptors ERalpha and ERbeta at AP1 sites. *Science*. 277:1508–1510. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.277.5331.1508
- Palaszynski, K.M., K.K. Loo, J.F. Ashouri, H.b. Liu, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2004. Androgens are protective in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis: Implications for multiple sclerosis. J. Neuroimmunol. 146:144–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroim.2003.11.004
- Palaszynski, K.M., D.L. Smith, S. Kamrava, P.S. Burgoyne, A.P. Arnold, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2005. A Yin-Yang effect between sex chromosome complement and sex hormones on the immune response. *Endocrinology*. 146:3280–3285. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2005-0284
- Pan, J., N. Ma, B. Yu, W. Zhang, and J. Wan. 2020. Transcriptomic profiling of microglia and astrocytes throughout aging. J. Neuroinflamm. 17:97. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-020-01774-9
- Papenfuss, T.L., C.J. Rogers, I. Gienapp, M. Yurrita, M. McClain, N. Damico, J. Valo, F. Song, and C.C. Whitacre. 2004. Sex differences in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in multiple murine strains. *J. Neuroimmunol.* 150:59–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroim.2004.01 .018
- Patel, T., T.P. Carnwath, X. Wang, M. Allen, S.J. Lincoln, L.J. Lewis-Tuffin, Z.S. Quicksall, S. Lin, F.Q. Tutor-New, C.C.G. Ho, et al. 2022. Transcriptional landscape of human microglia implicates age, sex, and APOE-related immunometabolic pathway perturbations. *Aging Cell*. 21: e13606. https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.13606
- Pelfrey, C.M., A.C. Cotleur, J.C. Lee, and R.A. Rudick. 2002. Sex differences in cytokine responses to myelin peptides in multiple sclerosis. J. Neuroimmunol. 130:211–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-5728(02) 00224-2
- Pike, C.J. 2017. Sex and the development of Alzheimer's disease. J. Neurosci. Res. 95:671-680. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.23827
- Priller, J., and M. Prinz. 2019. Targeting microglia in brain disorders. Science. 365:32–33. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau9100
- Prokop, S., K.R. Miller, S.R. Labra, R.M. Pitkin, K. Hoxha, S. Narasimhan, L. Changolkar, A. Rosenbloom, V.M.Y. Lee, and J.Q. Trojanowski. 2019.

Impact of TREM2 risk variants on brain region-specific immune activation and plaque microenvironment in Alzheimer's disease patient brain samples. *Acta Neuropathol.* 138:613–630. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-019-02048-2

- Rasgon, N.L., D. Silverman, P. Siddarth, K. Miller, L.M. Ercoli, S. Elman, H. Lavretsky, S.C. Huang, M.E. Phelps, and G.W. Small. 2005. Estrogen use and brain metabolic change in postmenopausal women. *Neurobiol. Aging.* 26:229–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2004.03.003
- Rasmussen, S., Y. Wang, P. Kivisakk, R.T. Bronson, M. Meyer, J. Imitola, and S.J. Khoury. 2007. Persistent activation of microglia is associated with neuronal dysfunction of callosal projecting pathways and multiple sclerosis-like lesions in relapsing remitting experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Brain A J. Neurol. 130:2816–2829. https://doi.org/10 .1093/brain/awm219
- Rawji, K.S., A.M.H. Young, T. Ghosh, N.J. Michaels, R. Mirzaei, J. Kappen, K.L. Kolehmainen, N. Alaeiilkhchi, B. Lozinski, M.K. Mishra, et al. 2020. Niacin-mediated rejuvenation of macrophage/microglia enhances remyelination of the aging central nervous system. *Acta Neuropathol.* 139: 893–909. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-020-02129-7
- Reekes, T.H., C.I. Higginson, C.R. Ledbetter, N. Sathivadivel, R.M. Zweig, and E.A. Disbrow. 2020. Sex specific cognitive differences in Parkinson disease. NPJ Parkinsons Dis. 6:7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41531-020 -0109-1
- Ribbons, K.A., P. McElduff, C. Boz, M. Trojano, G. Izquierdo, P. Duquette, M. Girard, F. Grand'Maison, R. Hupperts, P. Grammond, et al. 2015. Male sex is independently associated with faster disability accumulation in relapse-onset MS but not in primary progressive MS. *PLoS One.* 10: e0122686. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0122686
- Riedel, B.C., P.M. Thompson, and R.D. Brinton. 2016. Age, APOE and sex: Triad of risk of Alzheimer's disease. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 160: 134–147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2016.03.012
- Ruggieri, S., F. Fanelli, L. Castelli, N. Petsas, L. De Giglio, and L. Prosperini. 2018. Lesion symptom map of cognitive-postural interference in multiple sclerosis. *Mult. Scler.* 24:653–662. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1352458517701313
- Sasidhar, M.V., N. Itoh, S.M. Gold, G.W. Lawson, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2012. The XX sex chromosome complement in mice is associated with increased spontaneous lupus compared with XY. Ann. Rheum. Dis. 71:1418–1422. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-201246
- Satterthwaite, T.D., D.H. Wolf, D.R. Roalf, K. Ruparel, G. Erus, S. Vandekar, E.D. Gennatas, M.A. Elliott, A. Smith, H. Hakonarson, et al. 2015. Linked sex differences in cognition and functional connectivity in youth. *Cereb. Cortex*. 25:2383–2394. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu036
- Savettieri, G., D. Messina, V. Andreoli, S. Bonavita, C. Caltagirone, R. Cittadella, D. Farina, M.C. Fazio, P. Girlanda, F. Le Pira, et al. 2004. Genderrelated effect of clinical and genetic variables on the cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. J. Neurol. 251:1208–1214. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00415-004-0508-y
- Schafer, D.P., E.K. Lehrman, and B. Stevens. 2013. The "quad-partite" synapse: Microglia-synapse interactions in the developing and mature CNS. Glia. 61:24–36. https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.22389
- Scheinost, D., E.S. Finn, F. Tokoglu, X. Shen, X. Papademetris, M. Hampson, and R.T. Constable. 2015. Sex differences in normal age trajectories of functional brain networks. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 36:1524–1535. https://doi .org/10.1002/hbm.22720
- Schirmer, L., D.P. Schafer, T. Bartels, D.H. Rowitch, and P.A. Calabresi. 2021. Diversity and function of glial cell types in multiple sclerosis. *Trends Immunol.* 42:228–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2021.01.005
- Schoonheim, M.M., V. Popescu, F.C. Rueda Lopes, O.T. Wiebenga, H. Vrenken, L. Douw, C.H. Polman, J.J.G. Geurts, and F. Barkhof. 2012.
   Subcortical atrophy and cognition: Sex effects in multiple sclerosis. Neurology. 79:1754–1761. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL
   .0b013e3182703f46
- Shang, Y., and M. Brown. 2002. Molecular determinants for the tissue specificity of SERMs. Science. 295:2465-2468. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1068537
- Sherwin, B.B. 2009. Estrogen therapy: Is time of initiation critical for neuroprotection? Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 5:620–627. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrendo.2009.193
- Sherwin, B.B., H. Chertkow, H. Schipper, and Z. Nasreddine. 2011. A randomized controlled trial of estrogen treatment in men with mild cognitive impairment. *Neurobiol. Aging.* 32:1808–1817. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.neurobiolaging.2009.11.002
- Shi, Q., S. Chowdhury, R. Ma, K.X. Le, S. Hong, B.J. Caldarone, B. Stevens, and C.A. Lemere. 2017. Complement C3 deficiency protects against

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

neurodegeneration in aged plaque-rich APP/PS1 mice. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 9:eaaf6295. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf6295

- Shi, Y., and D.M. Holtzman. 2018. Interplay between innate immunity and Alzheimer disease: APOE and TREM2 in the spotlight. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 18:759–772. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-018-0051-1
- Shughrue, P.J., G.R. Askew, T.L. Dellovade, and I. Merchenthaler. 2002. Estrogen-binding sites and their functional capacity in estrogen receptor double knockout mouse brain. *Endocrinology*. 143:1643–1650. https://doi.org/10.1210/endo.143.5.8772
- Shulman, L.M., and V. Bhat. 2006. Gender disparities in Parkinson's disease. Expert Rev. Neurother. 6:407–416. https://doi.org/10.1586/14737175.6.3 .407
- Smith, R., and J.W. Studd. 1992. A pilot study of the effect upon multiple sclerosis of the menopause, hormone replacement therapy and the menstrual cycle. J. R. Soc. Med. 85:612–613. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 014107689208501008
- Smith-Bouvier, D.L., A.A. Divekar, M. Sasidhar, S. Du, S.K. Tiwari-Woodruff, J.K. King, A.P. Arnold, R.R. Singh, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2008. A role for sex chromosome complement in the female bias in autoimmune disease. *J. Exp. Med.* 205:1099–1108. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20070850
- Snyder, H.M., S. Asthana, L. Bain, R. Brinton, S. Craft, D.B. Dubal, M.A. Espeland, M. Gatz, M.M. Mielke, J. Raber, et al. 2016. Sex biology contributions to vulnerability to Alzheimer's disease: A think tank convened by the women's Alzheimer's research initiative. Alzheimers Dement. 12:1186–1196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2016.08.004
- Souyris, M., C. Cenac, P. Azar, D. Daviaud, A. Canivet, S. Grunenwald, C. Pienkowski, J. Chaumeil, J.E. Mejia, and J.C. Guery. 2018. TLR7 escapes X chromosome inactivation in immune cells. *Sci. Immunol.* 3:eaap8855. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.aap8855
- Souyris, M., J.E. Mejia, J. Chaumeil, and J.C. Guery. 2019. Female predisposition to TLR7-driven autoimmunity: Gene dosage and the escape from X chromosome inactivation. *Semin. Immunopathol.* 41:153–164. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00281-018-0712-y
- Spence, R.D., and R.R. Voskuhl. 2012. Neuroprotective effects of estrogens and androgens in CNS inflammation and neurodegeneration. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 33:105–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2011.12.001
- Spring, S., J.P. Lerch, and R.M. Henkelman. 2007. Sexual dimorphism revealed in the structure of the mouse brain using three-dimensional magnetic resonance imaging. *Neuroimage*. 35:1424–1433. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.02.023
- Stephen, T.L., M. Cacciottolo, D. Balu, T.E. Morgan, M.J. LaDu, C.E. Finch, and C.J. Pike. 2019. APOE genotype and sex affect microglial interactions with plaques in Alzheimer's disease mice. Acta Neuropathol. Commun. 7: 82. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-019-0729-z
- Stevens, B., and D.P. Schafer. 2018. Roles of microglia in nervous system development, plasticity, and disease. Dev. Neurobiol. 78:559–560. https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22594
- Sun, X., Q. Ming, X. Zhong, D. Dong, C. Li, G. Xiong, C. Cheng, W. Cao, J. He, X. Wang, et al. 2020. The MAOA gene influences the neural response to psychosocial stress in the human brain. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 14:65. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2020.00065
- Tarsa, L., and Y. Goda. 2002. Synaptophysin regulates activity-dependent synapse formation in cultured hippocampal neurons. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 99:1012–1016. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.022575999
- Tassoni, A., V. Farkhondeh, Y. Itoh, N. Itoh, M.V. Sofroniew, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2019. The astrocyte transcriptome in EAE optic neuritis shows complement activation and reveals a sex difference in astrocytic C3 expression. *Sci. Rep.* 9:10010. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46232 -6
- Tatar, C.L., S. Appikatla, D.A. Bessert, A.S. Paintlia, I. Singh, and R.P. Skoff. 2010. Increased Plp1 gene expression leads to massive microglial cell activation and inflammation throughout the brain. ASN Neuro. 2: e00043. https://doi.org/10.1042/AN20100016
- Tiwari-Woodruff, S., L.B.J. Morales, R. Lee, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2007. Differential neuroprotective and antiinflammatory effects of estrogen receptor (ER)alpha and ERbeta ligand treatment. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 104:14813–14818. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0703783104
- Tolaymat, B., W. Zheng, H. Chen, S. Choi, X. Li, and D. Harrison. 2020. Sexspecific differences in rim appearance of multiple sclerosis lesions on quantitative susceptibility mapping. *Mult. Scler. Relat. Disord.* 45:102317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msard.2020.102317
- Trapp, B.D., J.R. Wujek, G.A. Criste, W. Jalabi, X. Yin, G.J. Kidd, S. Stohlman, and R. Ransohoff. 2007. Evidence for synaptic stripping by cortical microglia. *Glia*. 55:360–368. https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.20462

- Uchoa, M.F., V.A. Moser, and C.J. Pike. 2016. Interactions between inflammation, sex steroids, and Alzheimer's disease risk factors. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 43:60–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2016.09.001
- Ulrich, J.D., T.K. Ulland, M. Colonna, and D.M. Holtzman. 2017. Elucidating the role of TREM2 in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron*. 94:237–248. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.02.042
- Vasek, M.J., C. Garber, D. Dorsey, D.M. Durrant, B. Bollman, A. Soung, J. Yu, C. Perez-Torres, A. Frouin, D.K. Wilton, et al. 2016. A complementmicroglial axis drives synapse loss during virus-induced memory impairment. *Nature*. 534:538-543. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18283
- Vest, R.S., and C.J. Pike. 2013. Gender, sex steroid hormones, and Alzheimer's disease. Horm. Behav. 63:301–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012 .04.006
- Vigano, F., W. Mobius, M. Gotz, and L. Dimou. 2013. Transplantation reveals regional differences in oligodendrocyte differentiation in the adult brain. Nat. Neurosci. 16:1370–1372. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3503
- Voskuhl, R., and S. Klein. 2019. Sex is a biological variable: In the brain too. Nature. 568:171. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-01141-6
- Voskuhl, R.R. 2020. The effect of sex on multiple sclerosis risk and disease progression. Mult. Scler. 26:554–560. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1352458519892491
- Voskuhl, R.R., and S.M. Gold. 2012. Sex-related factors in multiple sclerosis susceptibility and progression. Nat. Rev. Neurol. 8:255–263. https://doi .org/10.1038/nrneurol.2012.43
- Voskuhl, R.R., N. Itoh, A. Tassoni, M.A. Matsukawa, E. Ren, V. Tse, E. Jang, T.T. Suen, and Y. Itoh. 2019. Gene expression in oligodendrocytes during remyelination reveals cholesterol homeostasis as a therapeutic target in multiple sclerosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 116:10130–10139. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1821306116
- Voskuhl, R.R., K. Patel, F. Paul, S.M. Gold, M. Scheel, J. Kuchling, G. Cooper, S. Asseyer, C. Chien, A.U. Brandt, et al. 2020. Sex differences in brain atrophy in multiple sclerosis. *Biol. Sex Differ*. 11:49. https://doi.org/10 .1186/s13293-020-00326-3
- Voskuhl, R.R., A.H. Sawalha, and Y. Itoh. 2018. Sex chromosome contributions to sex differences in multiple sclerosis susceptibility and progression. *Mult. Scler.* 24:22–31. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1352458517737394
- Weinshenker, B.G. 1994. Natural history of multiple sclerosis. Ann. Neurol. 36: S6–S11. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410360704
- Weis, S., K.R. Patil, F. Hoffstaedter, A. Nostro, B.T.T. Yeo, and S.B. Eickhoff. 2020. Sex classification by resting state brain connectivity. *Cereb. Cor*tex. 30:824–835. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhz129
- Werneburg, S., J. Jung, R.B. Kunjamma, S.K. Ha, N.J. Luciano, C.M. Willis, G. Gao, N.P. Biscola, L.A. Havton, S.J. Crocker, et al. 2020. Targeted complement inhibition at synapses prevents microglial synaptic engulfment and synapse loss in demyelinating disease. *Immunity*. 52: 167-182.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.12.004
- Whitacre, C.C., S.C. Reingold, and P.A. O'Looney. 1999. A gender gap in autoimmunity. Science. 283:1277–1278. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.283 .5406.1277
- Wierenga, L.M., G.E. Doucet, D. Dima, I. Agartz, M. Aghajani, T.N. Akudjedu, A. Albajes-Eizagirre, D. Alnaes, K.I. Alpert, O.A. Andreassen, et al. 2022.
   Greater male than female variability in regional brain structure across the lifespan. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 43:470–499. https://doi.org/10.1002/ hbm.25204
- Wroolie, T.E., H.A. Kenna, K.E. Williams, B.N. Powers, M. Holcomb, A. Khaylis, and N.L. Rasgon. 2011. Differences in verbal memory performance in postmenopausal women receiving hormone therapy: 17β-estradiol versus conjugated equine estrogens. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiat. 19:792–802. https://doi.org/10.1097/JGP.0b013e3181ff678a
- Wu, W.F., X.J. Tan, Y.B. Dai, V. Krishnan, M. Warner, and J.A. Gustafsson. 2013. Targeting estrogen receptor beta in microglia and T cells to treat experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 110:3543–3548. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1300313110
- Yanguas-Casas, N., A. Crespo-Castrillo, M.A. Arevalo, and L.M. Garcia-Segura. 2020. Aging and sex: Impact on microglia phagocytosis. *Aging Cell*. 19:e13182. https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.13182
- Young, L.J., and D.W. Pfaff. 2014. Sex differences in neurological and psychiatric disorders. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 35:253–254. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.yfrne.2014.05.005
- Zhang, M.A., D. Rego, M. Moshkova, H. Kebir, A. Chruscinski, H. Nguyen, R. Akkermann, F.Z. Stanczyk, A. Prat, L. Steinman, and S.E. Dunn. 2012. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)α and -γ regulate IFNγ and IL-17A production by human T cells in a sex-specific way.

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 109:9505-9510. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas .1118458109

- Ziehn, M.O., A.A. Avedisian, S.M. Dervin, T.J. O'Dell, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2012a. Estriol preserves synaptic transmission in the hippocampus during autoimmune demyelinating disease. *Lab. Invest.* 92:1234–1245. https:// doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.2012.76
- Ziehn, M.O., A.A. Avedisian, S.M. Dervin, E.A. Umeda, T.J. O'Dell, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2012b. Therapeutic testosterone administration preserves

excitatory synaptic transmission in the Hippocampus during autoimmune demyelinating disease. J. Neurosci. 32:12312–12324. https://doi .org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2796-12.2012

Ziehn, M.O., A.A. Avedisian, S. Tiwari-Woodruff, and R.R. Voskuhl. 2010. Hippocampal CA1 atrophy and synaptic loss during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, EAE. Lab. Invest. 90:774–786. https://doi .org/10.1038/labinvest.2010.6

# **Author Queries**

- Q1 To make papers more readable and informative, we request that all proper gene names be italicized. Only actual gene names should be italicized, to distinguish them from gene products of the same or similar name; ad hoc designations for genes; gene segments; and gene clusters, families, complexes, or groups. With this in mind, please check that the proper terms have been italicized throughout.
- Q2 Correct to change the hyphen to a minus sign?
- Q3 Should this be "transgene"?
- Q4 The phrase " females worse" does not seem clear. Kindly edit the senese to give better clarity.
- Q5 Please spell out NOD, if possible. Also, is the period correct here?